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Background:
Gastric ulcer is among the most serious stomach disorder universally. Several effective drugs are employed in the management of this disease, although there have been adverse effects in some cases. The aim of this study was to investigate the effect of nortriptyline to protect against gastric lesions, induced by indomethacin or cold-shock stress in rats.

Methods:
Gastric lesions were induced by oral indomethacin (30 mg/kg) or cold-shock at 2-4°C. Animals were pre-treated with 5, 10 or 20 mg/kg nortriptyline. After 4hr of exposure to indomethacin or cold shock, the stomach was removed for histological examinations and the levels of enzymatic and non-enzymatic oxidative stress markers were determined in the tissue samples.

Results:
The results showed that nortriptyline at 20 mg/kg significantly restored the activity of the oxidative stress markers, such as Superoxide Dismutase (SOD) and Catalase (CAT) enzymes. It also decreased the tissue Malondialdehyde (MDA) concentration. In addition, nortriptyline at 20 mg/kg, ameliorated the gastric tissue damages caused by indomethacin or the cold shock.

Conclusion:
The results suggest that improvement in gastric mucosal lesions can be mediated by nortriptyline pretreatment, which is likely due to its antioxidant property.

Keywords:
Indomethacin, Cold-shock response; Nortriptyline; Stomach; Oxidative stress

Article info:
Received: 08 Jan 2020
Accepted: 07 Jun 2020
Online Published: 01 Jul 2020

* Corresponding author:
Marzieh Rashedinia, PhD.
Address: Department of Pharmacology Toxicology, School of Pharmacy, Shiraz University of Medical Sciences, Shiraz, Iran.
E-mail: rashedinia@sums.ac.ir

How to cite this paper:

http://dx.doi.org/10.32598/ijt.14.3.649.1

Abstract

Background: Gastric ulcer is among the most serious stomach disorder universally. Several effective drugs are employed in the management of this disease, although there have been adverse effects in some cases. The aim of this study was to investigate the effect of nortriptyline to protect against gastric lesions, induced by indomethacin or cold-shock stress in rats.

Methods: Gastric lesions were induced by oral indomethacin (30 mg/kg) or cold-shock at 2-4°C. Animals were pre-treated with 5, 10 or 20 mg/kg nortriptyline. After 4hr of exposure to indomethacin or cold shock, the stomach was removed for histological examinations and the levels of enzymatic and non-enzymatic oxidative stress markers were determined in the tissue samples.

Results: The results showed that nortriptyline at 20 mg/kg significantly restored the activity of the oxidative stress markers, such as Superoxide Dismutase (SOD) and Catalase (CAT) enzymes. It also decreased the tissue Malondialdehyde (MDA) concentration. In addition, nortriptyline at 20 mg/kg, ameliorated the gastric tissue damages caused by indomethacin or the cold shock.

Conclusion: The results suggest that improvement in gastric mucosal lesions can be mediated by nortriptyline pretreatment, which is likely due to its antioxidant property.

Keywords: Indomethacin, Cold-shock response; Nortriptyline; Stomach; Oxidative stress

Introduction

Gastric and duodenal ulcers are commonly known as peptic ulcers, which are defined as mucosal erosions with at least half a centimeter intrusive diameter in the human mucosa [1]. The most common complications of this disease include bleeding, perforation, and obstruction [2]. The diagnostic evaluation of bleeding in humans should be determined immediately. Endoscopic treatment, which involves injecting adrenaline, coagulating with warming probes, and cutting the bleeding vessel are recommended [3], although recurrent bleeding occurs in 10%-20% of the patients [4]. The administration of Proton Pump Inhibitors (PPIs), such as ranitidine hydrochloride is also help-
ful [3]. Early clinical assessment and therapy result in reduced risk of morbidity and mortality, and the need for surgery [5]. One of the main etiologic factors in the development of chronic gastric ulcers is the consumption of Non-Steroidal Anti-Inflammatory Drugs (NSAIDs), including indomethacin, naproxen and ibuprofen [6, 7]. Factors such as increased gastric acid, alcohol consumption, smoking, severe stress, and other chronic conditions can increase the risk of gastric mucosal ulcers [8].

The production of free radicals and induction of oxidative stress is the most prevalent mechanism involved in the gastric mucosal damage, leading to the development of gastric ulcers [9]. It is well known that indomethacin administration and cold exposure possibly increase the production of Reactive Oxygen Species (ROS), hydroxyl radicals (HO\(^{•}\)), superoxide anion radicals (-O\(^{2}\)), and Hydrogen Peroxide (H\(^{2}\)O\(_{2}\)), all of which cause lipid peroxidation [6, 10]. The evaluation of Catalase (CAT) and Superoxide Dismutase (SOD) oxidative activities, and determination of Malondialdehyde (MDA) concentration are important factors in the prediction of gastric ulcers [11-13]. These justify the popular antioxidant therapies and some herbal medicines in the management of peptic ulcers [14-16]. Various drugs, including anticholinergic agents, histamine type 2 receptor antagonists, antacids, and recently proton pump inhibitors have been developed to treat patients with peptic ulcers [17]. However, many of these agents have shown side effects.

Antipsychotics and antidepressant drugs are commonly used in the treatment of irritable bowel disease in humans [18]. The beneficial effects of antioxidants and antidepressant drugs have been reported clinically in patients with gastric ulcers [19]. Many antidepressants, e.g., fluoxetine, imipramine and its metabolite desipramine, bupropion, amitriptyline, dothiepin, maprotiline, mianserin, trimipramine, idazoxan, and monoamine oxidase-B inhibitors have exhibited therapeutic effects in various gastric ulcer models [20-25]. Amitriptyline is a second-generation antidepressant that has various pharmacological properties [26]. In view of the antioxidant effects of nortriptyline and the absence of adequate studies on its pharmacological effects on gastric lesions, we investigated its therapeutic effects on the rat gastric lesions, induced either by indomethacin exposure or cold stress.

Materials and Methods

Experimental animals: Fifty male Wistar rats, weighing 180-250g were purchased from the Center of Comparative and Experimental Medicine at Shiraz University of Medical Sciences, Shiraz, Iran. The animals were adapted under 12hr light-dark cycle and at 23±2°C with free access to food and water before the experiments began. The rats were randomly divided into five groups of five each for the indomethacin-induced gastric lesion model and the same numbers were assigned to the cold stress-induced gastric lesion. The care and use of the animals were in accordance with Animal Rights Monitoring Committee Guide of Shiraz University of Medical Sciences (Protocol #: 95-01-103-11946). All animals in this study were administered their assigned agents orally. All the laboratory and histological tests were carried out in triplicate.

Indomethacin-induced Gastric Lesion Model: After 24 h of fasting, animals (N=25) were orally administered as follows: groups I, II, III were pre-treated with nortriptyline (5, 10 or 20 mg/kg). After 1 hour, they received 30 mg/kg indomethacin (suspended in 0.5% carboxymethyl cellulose). Group IV was orally given 2.5 ml/kg normal saline 1hr before the administration of indomethacin (30 mg/kg). Group V was treated with 50 mg/kg ranitidine 1hr before taking 30 mg/kg indomethacin. Four hours after administering indomethacin, all animals were anesthetized with ether and sacrificed.

Cold Shock-induced Gastric Lesion Model: The rats (N=25) were kept on fasting for 24hr. Then one hour after the oral administration of nortriptyline, normal saline or ranitidine, the rats were held in a restrainer and placed at 1-4°C in a refrigerator for six hours. Group I (control), received 2.5 ml/kg normal saline; groups II, III, IV were treated with 5, 10 or 20 mg/kg nortriptyline, and group V was treated with 50 mg/kg ranitidine. The animals were taken out of the refrigerator after 6 hours, anesthetized with ether, and sacrificed.

Preparation of stomach tissue homogenate: The rats’ stomachs that had been removed after sacrifice were incised at the great curvature, was rinsed with normal saline and photographed. The tissue samples were divided into two equal portions, one was homogenized in phosphate buffer saline (PBS; 1/10 w/v; pH=7.4) and was assigned to the SOD and Catalase activities, and MDA concentration assays. The second portion was kept in 10% formalin for the histopathologic examinations.

Gastric mucosa image processing: An in-house toolbox was developed to perform the metric analysis of the wound area, based on Graphical User Interface (GUI) processing of Matlab 2012a version. The toolbox could differentiate between the wounded and normal tissue areas, based on the Red, Green And Blue (RGB) components of each pixel. Using this system, the lesions were classified as slight, moderate or severe, and the percent-
ors for each quarter, using defined RGB values. The software was able to calculate the colors for each quarter, using defined RGB values.

**Lipid peroxidation assay:** The MDA concentration, as a marker of lipid peroxidation, was determined as follows. A 200μl of tissue suspension was added to a mixture of the TCA 10%, TBA 0.06% and the 0.5 ml HCL 0.5 N acid, vortexed vigorously and heated in boiling water for 45 min. After cooling, the solution was centrifuged at 1000g for 10 minutes, and the absorption was read at 532nm. The MDA concentration was extrapolated, using a calibration curve (nM/mg protein) [27].

**Estimation of superoxide dismutase activity:** The SOD activity was assayed according to previously method described [28]. A 10μl of the homogenate was combined with 3- (4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl) -2,5-di-phenyl tetrazolium bromide (MTT) at 1.25 mM, and pyrogallol 100μM; then PBS was added to reach a final volume of 765μl. After incubation at room temperature for 5 minutes, 0.75ml Dimethyl Sulfoxide (DMSO) was added to the mixture as the reaction inhibitor. The absorbance of the mixture was read at 570nm, and the enzyme activity was expressed on the basis of the unit. One unit is the amount of required protein in microgram to reduce the MTT to 50%.

**Estimation of catalase activity:** The catalase activity was measured according to an established method [29]. Briefly, a solution of H2O2 at 65μM was mixed with 40μl of centrifuged homogeneous supernatant of the tissue and incubated at 37ºC for 1 minute. This was followed by adding ammonium molybdate reagent to inhibit the reaction, and the absorbance was measured at 405nm. The following formula was used to calculate the catalase activity:

\[
\text{Catalase activity (Ku/1)} = \frac{[A\text{(sample)}] - A\text{(blank 1)}]}{[A\text{(blank 2)}] - A\text{(blank 3)}]} \times 271
\]

Blank 1 contained substrate, molybdate and tissue sample; Blank 2 contained substrate, molybdate and PBS; Blank 3 contained PBS, molybdate and PBS.

Assay of Tissue Protein: The Bradford method [30] was used to assay the tissue protein concentration, with bovine serum albumin as the standard.

**Histopathological examinations:** An intact section of the stomach tissue was used after fixation in 10% formalin and processed overnight for the subsequent paraffin embedding. The samples were sectioned, molded and prepared for staining with hematoxylin and eosin [28]. The pathophysiologic alterations, such as congestion, hemorrhage, edema and erosions were microscopically examined, and a scale was assigned to each as the estimated extent of the severity of the lesions.

**Statistical analyses:** All values are presented as the Means±Standard Error of the Means (SEM). The statistical analyses were performed, using Graphpad prism, version 6. The differences between the mean values were determined by One-way Analysis of Variance (ANOVA), and a P value of less than 0.05 was considered as statistically significant.

**Results**

**Effect of nortriptyline on MDA level:** The data showed that the MDA level in the gastric tissue of the negative control group (30 mg/kg indomethacin) was significantly higher than those groups receiving 10 mg/kg or 20 mg/kg nortriptyline, and 50 mg/kg ranitidine, (P<0.001 and P<0.0001, respectively). In the treatment groups receiving 5 mg/kg nortriptyline, there was no significant changes compared to those in the control group (30 mg/kg indomethacin) (Figure 1A). Similarly, the group receiving ranitidine hydrochloride showed a significant decrease in the MDA content compared to those receiving 5 mg/kg or 10 mg/kg nortriptyline, (P<0.0001 & P<0.01, respectively). Figure 2A shows that the cold-shock-induced gastric lesions in rats (controls) caused a significant increase in the MDA level compared to the groups receiving 10 or 20 mg/kg nortriptyline (P<0.01 & P< 0.001, respectively), and the group receiving 50 mg/kg ranitidine (P<0.001). However, a significant decrease in MDA was observed in the ranitidine and nortriptyline (20 mg/kg) groups, compared to the control group (P<0.01).

**Effect of nortriptyline on SOD:** In the groups pretreated with nortriptyline at 5, 10 or 20 mg/kg (P <0.01, (P<0.001 & P<0.0001, respectively), and ranitidine as positive control (P<0.001), the SOD activity was significantly higher than that of the 30 mg/kg indomethacin group. However, there was no significant difference between the groups receiving nortriptyline and ranitidine (Figure 1B). Pretreatment with 10 or 20 mg/kg, but not 5 mg/kg nortriptyline doses, and ranitidine one hour before the onset of cold-shock exposure led to a significant increase in the SOD activity compared to the group receiving normal saline (P<0.001). Similarly as shown in Figure 2B, in the groups that received 20 mg/kg nor-
triptiline and ranitidine, the SOD activity significantly increased compared to those that received 5 mg/kg nortriptyline (P<0.05).

**Effect of nortriptyline on catalase activity:** Treatment with 20 mg/kg but not 5 or 10 mg/kg nortriptyline and ranitidine (P<0.05 & P<0.01, respectively), prior to receiving indomethacin, significantly increased the catalase activity compared to those that received normal saline. The catalase activity at 20 mg/kg nortriptyline was significantly increased compared to the group that received 5 mg/kg of the same drug (P<0.05; Figure 1C). The results shown in Figure 2C indicated that the catalase activity in the group pre-treated with 20 mg/kg nortriptyline and ranitidine one hour before exposure to cold-shock was significantly higher than that of the group receiving normal saline (P<0.01). The group that received 20 mg/kg nortriptyline also showed a significant increase in the catalase activity compared to the group receiving 5 mg/kg nortriptyline (P<0.05).

**Histological evaluation of the nortriptyline effects:** Results of the microscopic examinations from the control group (normal saline) showed high degrees of hyperemia and edema in the rats’ gastric tissue samples. However, in the groups administered 5 or 10 mg/kg nortriptyline and ranitidine, the SOD activity significantly increased compared to those that received 5 mg/kg nortriptyline (P<0.05).

Table 1. Effects of nortriptyline and ranitidine on indomethacin-induced gastric ulcers’ percent area in rats

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PuorG</th>
<th>Normal</th>
<th>Mild Lesion</th>
<th>Moderate Lesion</th>
<th>Sever Lesion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>42.49±3.5</td>
<td>54.43±7.1</td>
<td>2.22±3.4</td>
<td>0.56±0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nort 5 mg</td>
<td>62.71±4.0</td>
<td>37.01±3.9</td>
<td>0.26±0.3</td>
<td>0.01±0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nort 10 mg</td>
<td>79.72±3.8</td>
<td>19.14±2.9</td>
<td>1.10±0.9</td>
<td>0.02±0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nort 20 mg</td>
<td>83.05±5.0</td>
<td>16.94±5.0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ranitidine</td>
<td>75.86±18.9</td>
<td>24.12±18.9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Nort: Nortriptyline

Table 2. Nortriptyline and Ranitidine effects on cold-shock responses of gastric ulcers’ percent area in rats

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Normal</th>
<th>Mild Lesion</th>
<th>Moderate Lesion</th>
<th>Sever Lesion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>40.54±11.9</td>
<td>54.81±11.9</td>
<td>4.1±2.7</td>
<td>0.53±0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nort 5 mg</td>
<td>42.1±8.1</td>
<td>55.78±7.5</td>
<td>2.14±2.1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nort 10 mg</td>
<td>45.89±1.5</td>
<td>44.75±10.6</td>
<td>9.38±9.4</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nort 20 mg</td>
<td>84.48±6.2</td>
<td>14.54±5.1</td>
<td>1±1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ranitidine</td>
<td>73.62±5.1</td>
<td>26.38±5.1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Nor: MANortriptyline

Figure 1. Effects of nortriptyline

A: MDA; B: SOD; and C: CAT activities in the stomach tissues of rats given indomethacin;

*P<0.05 vs. respective control; *P<0.05 vs. respective drug treatment; Crf: Control, From left to right; NS: Normal saline; Ran: Ranitidine, 50 mg/kg; Nort: Nortriptyline (5, 10 or 20 mg/kg)
nortriptyline, high degrees of the submucosal edema and hyperemia, and a moderate degree of inflammatory cells infiltration into the indomethacin-induced gastric lesions were observed. However, a pre-treatment with 20 mg/kg nortriptyline resulted in much less edema and hyperemia (Figure 3). As shown in Figure 4, gastric tissues of the rats pretreated with normal saline, or with 5 or 10 mg/kg nortriptyline in the cold-shock-induced gastric lesions showed much hyperemia. There was only slight edema in the gastric tissue of the rats receiving 20 mg/kg nortriptyline and exposed to the cold stress. However, the treatment group receiving ranitidine showed no microscopic and morphological changes.

**Image processing:** As shown in the indomethacin model (Table 1), the percentages of mild, moderate and severe tissue lesions in the normal saline group were 54%, 2% and 1%, respectively. Approximately, 76% of the tissue were normal in the rats treated by ranitidine. In addition, the results indicated that 62% of the normal tissue and 37% of the mild grade lesions belonged to the gastric tissues of the rats pretreated with 5 mg/kg nortriptyline. There was no significant number of moderate or severe tissue lesions in the ranitidine group.

**Figure 2.** Effects of nortriptyline
A: MDA; B: SOD; and C: CAT on the stomach tissues of the rats exposed to cold stress;
* P<0.05 vs. respective control; *P<0.05 vs. respective drug treatment; Crl: control;
From left to right: NS: Normal saline; Ran: Ranitidine, 50mg/kg; Nort: Nortriptyline (5, 10 or 20 mg/kg)

**Figure 3.** Photomicrographs of H & E staining of the gastric mucosa (magnification ×100)
A: The control group, showing a high level of hyperemia; B: Ranitidine (50 mg/kg) group, showing normal gastric mucosa; C & D: Nortriptyline (5 or 10 mg/kg, oral) groups, showing high levels of hyperemia, edema and inflammatory cells infiltration into the gastric mucosa and submucosa; E: Nortriptyline (20 mg/kg, oral) group, showing few areas of gastric edema and hyperemia
severe grade tissue lesions in these animals. Finally, a significantly greater amounts of normal tissue was observed in groups pretreated with nortriptyline at 10 or 20 mg/kg, compared to those with 5 mg/kg. Table 2 shows that 55% of the lesions in rats given normal saline were assessed as mild grade in the cold stress model. While there were moderate level lesions in the positive control group of ranitidine, 74% of the tissue was normal. In the rats treated with 5 mg/kg nortriptyline, mild tissue lesions were present in 56% of them, but no severe lesion was observed. The stomach tissue samples of the rats pretreated with 10 mg/kg nortriptyline showed mild lesions in 45% of the samples. Also, 85% of all of the gastric tissue samples in the rats that received 20 mg/kg nortriptyline were histologically diagnosed as normal, with the remaining 15% showing few spots of mild lesions.

**Discussion**

Studies have reported that Nonsteroidal Anti-Inflammatory Drugs (NSAID), Helicobacter pylori infection and oxidative stressors are important causes of gastric lesions [31-33]. The protective effects of anti-depressant drugs against gastric ulcers is believed to stem from the inhibition of histamine and leukotriene release, and acid secretion from the gastric mucosa [23]. Tissue hypoxemia and oxidative stress are the causes of gastric ulcer pathogenesis, secondary to the accumulation of ROS. It can interrupt the macromolecules, including proteins, DNA and lipids, and cellular structures, leading to injuries and cell death [34]. In this study, the enzymatic and non-enzymatic antioxidant defense in the gastric mucosa against ROS generation and development of lesions were evaluated histopathologically and biochemically.

We selected the nortriptyline doses based on the prescribed dosage for humans, which has shown therapeutic benefits without causing adverse effects [35]. Also, there was no adverse effects or death documented in the rats. In addition, the acute toxic dose (LD50) of nortriptyline in rats has been 502 mg/kg orally; 22.3 mg/kg intravenously, and 666 mg/kg subcutaneously [36]. Consistently, the rats that were exposed to both lesion-inducing models in this study developed tissue edema and hyperemia. These findings are similar to those reported by Ahmad et al. [37]. Also, we demonstrated that pretreatment with nortriptyline increased the catalase and SOD activities in addition to reducing the MDA level. The latter is a well-known oxidative marker for gastric tissue lesions induced by indomethacin and cold stress exposure in a dose dependent manner.

The SOD catalyzes the anion superoxide (-O2) into oxygen and hydrogen peroxide. The remarkable improvements observed in the CAT and SOD activities may be interpreted as the nortriptyline effect against ROS. Also, inhibition of MDA, and increases in SOD and CAT activities collectively are gastric mucosa protective mechanisms against the excessive ROS generation [38].

Although the mechanism of nortriptyline action in the treatment of gastric lesions is not well understood, indomethacin significantly decreases the levels of glutathione...
Glutathione Peroxidase (GPx) and SOD, while increases the hydrogen peroxide and hydroxyl ions, which are the oxidative causes of gastric mucosa lesions [15]. The increase in the antioxidant catalase seems to be the mechanism by which nortriptyline interrupts the hydrogen peroxide breakdown and prevents tissue damages to gastric mucosa. Zhang et al. [40] reported that nortriptyline is neuroprotective against cerebral ischemia, which correlates well with its inhibitory effect on mitochondrial destruction and caspase activation [40]. Another study demonstrated that nortriptyline increases the activities of catalase and SOD while reduces the MDA levels in rats’ testicular tissue lesions [41].

El-awdan, et al. [42] demonstrated that three antidepressants, including mirtazapine, citalopram, and venlafaxine reduced the MDA, TNF-α and NO levels, leading to an increase in the glutathione peroxidase activity and eventually improved the indomethacin induced-gastric lesions in depressed rats [42]. Moreover, tricyclic antidepressants are competitive antagonists of muscarinic receptors, resulting in reduced gastric mucosal secretion and enhanced the healing of mucosal lesions [43-45]. While nortriptyline decreased MDA levels and increased the antioxidant enzymes, its reduction of the gastric lesions was of clinical significance. The scores for the ulcerated areas were confirmed by the considerable effects on microscopic indices of pathologic alterations. These included hyperemia, edema, inflammatory cells infiltration into the stomach mucosa and submucosa, secondary to the indomethacin administration or cold stress exposure of the rats’ stomach tissue. Finally, the current study findings support those of a previous study, suggesting that antidepressants may be more effective than the common ulcer reducing drugs, such as antacids and H2 receptor blockers in the treatment of stress-induced gastric ulcers [46].

Limitations of the Study: We assessed MDA concentration and the SOD and Catalase activities, representing oxidative stress. To confirm our results and to determine the mechanism of protective effect of nortriptyline against gastric lesions, measuring the mRNA and protein expression levels of SOD and catalase activities could have been informative; however, we did not have the facilities.

Recommendations for Future Studies: Measuring the Glutathione and ROS levels, and the related signal transduction pathways would be a choice method to explore the mechanism of nortriptyline action against tissue oxidation. Evaluation of the protective effect of another members of TCA drugs is also recommended.

Conclusions
The results of this study suggest that nortriptyline is effective in the management of stress-induced gastric ulcers, and it may exert its protective effects through the inhibition of oxidative stress and antioxidant enzyme pathways in the rats’ gastric tissue. The molecular mechanisms of nortriptyline action that inhibited the development of gastric ulcers awaits future research.
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